2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PANTER: May it please the Court. Ladies and gentlemen, life is about

choices, choices and consequences for those choices. Every action that one takes -- every action that one takes has a consequence.

Medicine is also about choices; that's what we were here for. A trial about medicine and a standard of care. Dr. Martinez-Alba chose to be a physician. He chose to be a physician. He chose to accept a consult and a call for a surgical patient on May 7th. chose not to call Tina, Clementina Brown, on the telephone himself. He chose not to get all of the medical information about Tina Brown's background and medical history. He chose not to inquire about her condition upon coming into the emergency room. And he chose, most importantly, for the Brown family, for these two boys, Anthony and Bryan Fontalvo, he chose not to come in and see this patient on Saturday afternoon at about 4:00 p.m. on May 7th. chose not to witness and look at her in the eyes and see the agonizing pain that this woman was experiencing that Ralph, her brother, saw.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

evening in the middle of the night, excruciating ten over ten abdominal pain. didn't choose to have that. She didn't choose her doctor. She didn't have a choice about Dr. Martinez-Alba. She did not choose to have an internal hernia. She did not choose to have an ischemic bowel. She didn't choose to come into the hospital in the middle of the night with an ischemic bowel causing pain ten over She didn't choose to have a consulting surgeon who decided to wait till the next day to see her. She did not choose to have a cardiac and pulmonary arrest, which caused her death, her withering death, in front of her family and her two young children for almost two years. She didn't choose to end up in a fetal position with her fingers grasping whatever life was left in her body. She didn't choose to leave her two children, her twin boys at age eleven without a mom. She didn't choose

The family chose to go in and see her

every single day. What Tina Brown did not

did not choose to have abdominal pain that

choose, Clementina Brown, did not choose, she

to have those boys have to live with Thelma

Leon, her sister. She didn't choose that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

24 25

I want to stop a minute and thank you, ladies and gentlemen, thank you. I could sit down now, but I won't because I am going to take you through the evidence in this case. And I thank you not to curry favor. I thank you for the court system; for the Honorable Judge Schlesinger; for our clerk Joe; for our court reporter Diana and for the other lawyers in the room, because this is what truly makes this country great. It truly does.

Because the judge decides matters of law, and that's part of the reason you are not here, because during this trial we are working hard to decide issues of law and rulings and things of that nature. As you all probably know and it's not because we are rude. And by the way, that's another thing I think I ought to bring up. I have been called many things in courtrooms, you know, and I sometimes lose what -- you might consider good decorum, because I have a passion for all of my clients, in particular in this case, but I want you to know, and I talked about this before the jury instructions and you are going to hear this

from the judge and this goes to everybody. The fact that I am emotional and I'm high strung, the fact that the evidence is difficult at best, it's horrible the tragedy, but we are not here for sympathy; and that goes to both sides.

You may feel, you know, Mr. Panter of -you were too mean to those witnesses and we are
sympathetic to them. Or you may feel,
Mr. Alba, we are sympathetic to Clementina
Brown and her family. And the judge is going
to tell you: In reaching your verdict, you are
not to be swayed from the performance of your
duty by prejudice or sympathy or sentiment for
or against any party. Your verdict must be
based on the evidence that has been received
and the law on which I instruct you. You know
what, that's all that we want.

So even when I sit down at the conclusion of my arguments, I plan on presenting just a short version of the day in the life of how Tina Brown's life ended and not to curry sympathy. I want to say that now. It's because it's the evidence. And when the Brown family, Thelma Leon came in to see me with John Perez and we decided to work on this case, we

took on an obligation to present all aspects of it, not just to prove that Dr. Alba was negligent; not just to prove that Dr. Alba had an obligation to see this patient; not just to prove that Dr. Alba ignored this patient; not just to prove that Dr. Alba wasted an opportunity and passed up a moment of opportune time; not just to prove that Dr. Alba failed to be safe; not just to prove that Dr. Alba failed to be safe; not just to prove that he needlessly endangered his patients, but we also have to prove that the injury and the consequences, as I started off talking about, that this was a horrific injury which could have been avoided if this doctor would have attended to the patient in a reasonably timely manner.

So, therefore, that evidence is not for that reason. But with that said, I would not be doing my job if we didn't talk about who Clementina Brown was or Tina. Clementina Brown wasn't -- Clementina Brown was first and foremost, the number one thing she was a mom. A single mom is tough. I mean there was a father but, you know, his role was limited. You didn't see him in this courtroom, you know, but she was a mom. You heard how great of a

sibling she was. And not to leave out the mommy of her that she was a great daughter.

But this Court only, and it's interesting you heard a lot of evidence and when you hear -- when I come to you at the end ask you to render a verdict, the only people that are compensated are her two children. You might think, you know, Mr. Panter, why can't her mom recover? Why can't her sisters recover? Why can't the rest of her family who are marvelous people; that's not what this trial is about. We have to look and we have to confine ourselves within the law.

The only two people who have a claim, people, are the two boys, the estate, and I will talk about that and the economic damages. Do you remember when I got up at the beginning of trial and I said let's talk about economic damages versus noneconomic. That's kind of what I am talking about now.

I want to, if I could, take you back to Monday. I want to take you back to Monday, which wasn't too long ago. Number one, we talked about not to needlessly endanger patients and, of course, better safe than

sorry. But more importantly right now, I want to just talk about a mom who was Tina and unfortunately you didn't get to meet her, but we do our job in bringing her to life by talking to her family.

There is not a single shred of evidence, this is not an issue in the case, as to who Tina Brown was, that she was not a terrific person and a terrific sibling and a terrific mom; you didn't hear anything to the contrary. So let's be real clear about that.

And your mom, you can't replace a mom.

Those two boys when Bryan --excuse me, may I
have the pleasure, when Bryan sat, and he is
bigger than me, but his heart -- his heart is
broken. And, you know, he may not have cried
to you. Thelma is now Mommy, knows his tears.

She knows his tears. Clementina, the grandma,
knows his tears. But you can only see and hear
him for ten minutes or so. But there is no
question that that mom is irreplaceable. That
mom nurtured these two boys, which were the
light of her life.

Her marriage didn't work out, but she never abandoned those guys. She was with them

and that was her life. That was her life. And more importantly or equally important that was their life, their mom.

Thank God for Thelma Leon. Thank you for taking care of two boys who are not out in the street. And they will never be out in the street, because they have a good fortune to have a great family. But does that mean that their loss of a mom is any less? Does that mean that that loss is replaceable by Thelma? She is their aunt. She has her own child now, but they are fortunate to have that, but you don't replace it.

You know, someone said that a mom is 24/7, 24/7. So when those children, before this happened, would sleep in the middle of the night and wake up as children do. And we have all been there, knock on the door or a pulling of the sheets for their mom; they didn't have that. They have Thelma. Thank God they are not in a foster home. They have Thelma. Twenty-four/seven all ages, all ages.

They don't have the ability to go off to college and call their mom and say, hey, this class stinks; I like this girl; I don't like

this girl. They won't have their mom, God willing, at their weddings. They won't have their mom, when it's time to have their own children. They won't have the pleasure of bringing their children to Clementina Brown, the senior, the mom. They don't have that.

What is a mom? Caring. A mom sacrifices everything. We knew this lady had two jobs, two jobs; not one but two and took her boys to work while she cleaned. You know that's interesting, because when we look at the economics Mr. Missun, my partner David put on the stand, he didn't testify she had two jobs. He didn't talk about the second job. He talked about the job at Ford, right? We didn't factor that in, but she had two jobs. So, you know, that's just a fact; unconditional love.

Someone once said that moms are overprotective; they don't have an overprotective mom. And, I don't know, someone talked about ambiguity in life and a great cook. This was a young lady who took it upon herself to have the two children. There is no daddy there; that's even more important to these children.

_

You know one of them couldn't make it here, but we provided you with some information about that. He is emotionally handicapped.

And ladies and gentlemen, don't hold that against Anthony for not being here, please. We are not required to bring him here to present

his case but his brother, his twin, born at the same time, came and spoke for his brother as best as a brother could. He wasn't perfect,

but no kids are. But that's the mom that they

are missing. That's the Thelma who had gastric

bypass surgery.

And you could see she is involved, not with just her family, but if we look here you can see that this young lady at age 33, and these pictures are probably a little bit before, didn't care about herself — this is Toys for Tots, Toys for Tots; she cared about the community. And because of someone who didn't want to be better safe than sorry, we lost this lady. Our community lost this lady, and those two children lost this lady and that family lost this young lady. And if you ask anyone she looked pretty good and pretty healthy in a bathing suit at age 33, after

2

3

4

5

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

having two children.

That's young Tina, probably about the age that Bryan and Anthony were, when they lost their mommy, probably close, ladies and gentlemen. If we could talk about what happened. Horrible, horrible, abdominal pains and Tina did everything right. What did Tina She didn't wait until the next day to get help, right? She called the emergency and they took her right to the hospital where you should be. And the hospital then puts together a You know, little by little you go in through the emergency room, and then you go the floor and then you go to where you need to be. Consults, every one came. Arguments about stats and not stats. It's a good time to get that one out of the way. Where is that board? Where is that one, John? Policy. I have it.

Let's talk about policy. You know, I was very clear with Dr. Alba and that he got his -- let's see, he is not his friend Comperatore.

He knows him for 20-plus years. He is the chief of surgery at the same hospital where Dr. Alba has privileges, but they are not friends, they are competitors. That's fine,

but forget about what Comperatore said. What about what Alba said? And we blew this up because we think it's important. We had the court reporter do that for us.

And I would just like to read it, so we can put that out of the way. Basically, "the Palmetto Hospital policy" -- this is a question -- "that your lawyer has brought up today is irrelevant to your decision as to whether you saw the patient on 5/7 or waited until 5/8. You made your own decision as a medical doctor not to see her". His answer is "correct."

So they -- the lawyers want to hide behind a policy and they want to use a witness,

Dr. Comperatore, to hide behind a policy, but

Dr. Alba, the defendant in this case, admits he confesses that this -- that really shouldn't be used.

The next question is "Okay. So can we put that policy aside? Say, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that has nothing to do with this doctor's decision one way or another. They can weigh in on your decision, but know that the policy didn't influence you one way or another; am I

1 correct? That's correct. Yes."

1.0

That's not ambiguous. That's very clear that that policy, which I think is in evidence, that this policy is not the guiding decision. This policy doesn't set the standard of care. And even if you wanted to consider it, it says consultation must be done within 24 hours. But if I heard Dr. Comperatore, who I got angry with. I got angry and I apologize. Dr. Comperatore says that gives them 24 hours.

You all took notes. Maybe you took a note on this, but my recollection is he tried to allow this doctor to walk away from this family without any responsibility at all. And he simply, if we simply put it, they want to blame the nurses. They want to blame the nurses and Dr. Llanes. So let's take them down, but we don't want to accept our responsibility for this tragedy. We appreciate that he's sorry, but that's not what this is about. So he hires or he doesn't hire because he does it for free. Dr. Comperatore does it as a public service or a service to his colleague.

Interestingly, once again, Dr. Comperatore was critical of Dr. Llanes and the nurses, if

you recall. But Dr. Alba himself, if we look to his testimony and I had the -- Diana, our court reporter, I had this typed up. And I am sorry it got a little smashed up, but it says, "Okay, and the doctors didn't know to call you because they are not surgeons. I am talking about Dr. Llanes. He didn't know. He thought he was doing the right thing by calling cardiology and pulmonology and you have no criticism of him, correct? I think they were doing the best that they could with that information they had. And I don't know what their thought process was at the time."

Aside from that, his testimony was very clear, because we went on and on about this that he has no criticism of Dr. Llanes or any of the other doctors in this case. He has none and he's a doctor. He is a surgeon. He has a right to defend himself. We have no problem with that. That's why we are here. He has no criticism, but then they go out and get the surgeon that works next to him that has the office next door to him to come in and say it's all Dr. Llanes' fault and it's all the nurses' fault.

Stand up. Accept responsibility. The buck stops here. Stand up. Accept responsibility. That's -- that's why we are here, because Dr. Alba refused to do that.

And one other issue we need to get out of the way. This is not a case about Dr. Alba and whether he's a good person or a good doctor in general or about his license or anything like that. And you hear Dr. Comperatore try to prejudice you and say how good of a person he was; that's appealing to your sympathy. That's not what it's about. It's about what occurred at Palmetto General Hospital on May 7th or what probably better put is what should have occurred on May 7th.

What should have occurred was simple. It was simple. This surgeon was called and Dr. Hickey testified he absolutely should have seen this patient, and should have done the exploratory laparotomy, which is a very safe surgery, with a less than one percent chance of mortality, and that's the only way to figure out what the problem was, because everything else was not getting there and these other doctors are not surgeons. They are medicine

doctors, cardiology doctors, pulmonology doctors, infectious disease doctors. The guy that was needed most as part of the team didn't show up until the following day, when it was all too late.

And I want to refresh your recollection of a little bit about what Dr. Hickey said. And Dr. Hickey is a qualified board certified trauma and general surgeon from Texas. You know, before I do that -- I am sorry. I am going to put this down one second. You know what, we went to Texas, those two lawyers and they brought their doctor. He came to Texas. They had every opportunity to cross-examine Dr. Hickey about every single issue in this case. And for the most part Dr. Hickey's testimony went unrebutted until now they want to pick on it in the courtroom, but that was a videotape done for the trial in this matter.

And I just have something from

Dr. Hickey's depo again, and I am going to read
here, ladies and gentlemen. I am sorry for all
my scratches and marks but again, "I think that
given the monitoring guidelines, if you are
tied up in surgery, did Dr. Alba ask you to

Did Dr.

look at the evidence in this case?" 1 2 Alba give those nurses in that hospital 3 guidelines? How to handle this patient? Call me if there is a problem. Call me if there is 4 5 By the way, he was consulted for a problem. 6 abdominal problems, so the abdomen remained the 7 The other doctors for cardiovascular 8 things, for pulmonology, but he was a consult 9 in the case. He's going to get called if there

> You know, if he was there none of this would have been relevant, but what Dr. Hickey says, "You can get there within three or four If you can't, you would get someone else to cover for you and see the patient, but you need to, as we say in surgery, lay your hands on the abdomen and find out what's going on". That's the bottom line and he chose not to come in on May 7th at 4:00 in the afternoon on Saturday as he chose.

is an abdominal problem, because that's what he

is consulted for, so they are very critical.

He made that choice and the consequences were that Tina suffered, and the boys suffered, and their family suffered and he made that choice. But you need to -- I am sorry.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't mean to read that again, "lay your hands and find out what's going on". And you know what? You can't do it over the phone. That's taught starting as an intern. There is no doubt that this doctor, in accordance with the standard of care of reasonable medicine, should have been to that hospital sometime in the afternoon and this would have never, ever happened, never, ladies and gentlemen, never.

And, you know, we know that Dr. Otero was called in as a consult and Dr. Otero did his job. He did a gastroscopy. And that's a procedure where he ruled out certain things, making it even more important, when Dr. Alba got that information to get to the hospital, because things are ruled out. We know that this patient had gallbladder surgery and these are reasons for him to come in, because that ruled other things out.

Now, we also know that doctors, this is not a one-way street. When a doctor talks to a nurse, he has an obligation to ask you questions. He doesn't rely on you. He is trained. He knows exactly what he is looking for or should know and it's a two-way street.

This is some questions and answers from Dr. Alba's trial testimony. "Doctor, you claim you didn't have all the information about this patient, in terms of that timeline and some of the history went over."

ANSWER: "Yes."

Now we have a doctor at home who admits to you in trial, and we asked Diana to type this up. He doesn't have all the information on a surgical patient. And the question is "Okay, well you are the specialist" -- we know he's the only surgical specialist in this case -- "you are the surgeon. Don't you agree that it's your responsibility to request from the people that you are talking to all the necessary information that you need to properly make a decision whether you should come in and see the patient now or later?" Answer, "yes." Except now in trial he accepts that responsibility. If he would have done that on May 7th, 2005, we wouldn't be here today.

We have created a board, this helps summarize the red lights or calls to Dr. Alba. We have here a non-emergent case. And on the right side we have an ischemic bowel and we

already know -- I can put this down again -Tina Brown came into the hospital with an
ischemic problem, ischemic bowel. This was
very important. I read this to him, these
questions just most recently. I said, "I want
the record to be clear that her internal hernia
and ischemic bowel was the cause of her
internal respiratory arrest."

ANSWER: "The ischemic bowel is what brought her into the hospital."

He acknowledges that her ischemic bowel brought her into the hospital. "I believe the herniation and the ischemia is what I believed caused her to be very sick and have an acute surgical abdomen."

And the ischemia in my next question is caused by the internal hernia and his answer is "correct. And the ischemia caused her to have all the change in physiology that caused her to arrest."

This is the defendant in this case acknowledging when she came in she had an ischemic bowel emergency. The next question:

QUESTION: ""Would you agree that ischemia is what is causing abdominal pain as well?"

We know that now retrospectively, but I know that now, but I didn't know that then. Is you would have got the history, he would have come in and seen the patient, he wouldn't be gambling with her very life. I would say, sure, that was causing either the ischemia or the fact that it was pre-ischemic or herniating.

Roux-en-Y surgery was all about. He even had the audacity to come in front of you and show you how smart he was and draw it for you, so he could tell you how great of a job he did in a surgery. There is no issue about the mechanics of the surgery. It's all about the timing, ladies and gentlemen. It's all about the timing. "That caused her, when she presented to the emergency room with pain ten out of ten, that's how she presented originally. You know that, right?"

ANSWER: "I don't recall that, but I am -- if you are saying so, I believe you. You are telling me."

You are telling me that. So going back to this doctor knew or should have known number

one, red light better safe than sorry. Come see me. She had three previous abdominal surgeries, including gastric bypass. That's a red light for any surgeon and that's what Dr. Hickey told you and that required him to get into the hospital on Saturday.

Severe pain for over 38 hours and they quibbled and quibbled about that because she was on morphine and Demerol and Dilaudid and whatever else they could give her at the hospital to relieve the horrible pain. The pain that her brother witnessed in this lady's eyes. The pain that that doctor never witnessed, because he didn't go look at her.

He didn't go see her. He didn't go. He didn't go except stay at home and make an excuse that the nurses should have called me at night; that's his excuse. Blame them. Blame them. Halitosis and chills. Halitosis, no big deal. It's just bad breath. Well, she's vomiting. You heard from Bryan, her son, saying she's vomiting blood. You know, and it's not one of these. You have to look at all of them together. So, yeah, if the lady had halitosis, maybe she had bad breath and chills.

1 That's a general symptom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have to look at everything. No gallbladder. That's important for a surgeon. That rules out the probability of gallbladder problems, but then somehow they made some excuse, well, she could still have some kind of gallbladder problems. I don't know what but some excuse.

Then a CT scan. Dilated loops of bowel They want to make it like it was a normal CT. And the clinical where the report says clinical correlation suggested that's just because of the lawyers. That's because of the lawyers. You know what, if it's because of the lawyers I am proud of what I do to maybe save some people. Save some people in this community, if that's what it takes. Gastroscopy was normal. That ruled out a gastric pouch or an ulcer. So we know that one doctor, who was consulted on a regular consult, came in timely and did his job and we ruled out the problems. He did his job. Elevated white blood count, 13,000. It's just a little bit elevated. Not a big deal. If she had nothing else and she had white blood counts at 13,000

you wouldn't be too worried. You wouldn't.

Same ever signs of ischemic bowel. She had
escalated ischemic bowel on her presentation.

Elevated blood sugar, elevated hematocrit and
lowered CO consistent with acidosis. So that's
the picture. That's why we drew it like this.

By the way, if you remember, when I started off in this case and I said to you this is a civil case and the burden is just a little bit of the tipping of the scale and we accept that burden. Well, the evidence shows beyond what we need to prove to have Dr. Alba be responsible and held accountable for this horrible loss for this family. Well and beyond a civil burden in this case, well and beyond. And what happens is this is how this 33-year-old lady ends up. And this is not for sympathy. This is evidence in this case, folks. This is what happens. This isn't one This isn't one day. What this is and day. I -- help me out over here.

MR PEREZ: Yes.

MR. PANTER: Take one box, one-third. Bring them over here, please, please.

MR PEREZ: Where would you like it?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PANTER: Right here (indicating). I don't need them all. I don't need them all. 16,000 pages of medical records and, you know what, every single page here reflects horrible pain and suffering, a horrible existence. Nothing can be imagined worse. I don't think that I can put it into words, but 16,000 pages of medical records. And the family came and saw their love, Tina. It took about a month for the boys to come to see their mom in a deplorable condition with no dignity, suffering until the very end, February 13th, 2007. then the funeral, and then life with their aunt, which they have been doing for a long What did that all cost to the estate? time. \$5,430,627.07. That's what the bill was at Palmetto Hospital.

John, where are the nursing home bills?

And then for a short period of time the family moved her to a nursing home, Hampton. You haven't heard much evidence about that.

Because I think we presented enough damage evidence to you, but we did present the bill.

That wasn't pleasant, the family having to see their loved one at the nursing home. The bill

there before she died is \$48,213.67, not -this is not for those boys. This is to the
estate. These are liquidated certain -- this
is not speculative or a pie in the sky. This
is what it cost. This is what it was all
about. That's what happened.

Now the defense is going to argue Llanes and the nurses — Llanes and the nurses but guess what, I talked to you about burden of proof and we accept and embrace our burden of proof, after we have proven to you that Dr. Alba should have come to this hospital for many, many reasons, but it's their burden of proof to prove that Dr. Llanes and the nurses actually did something wrong that contributed to this tragedy.

They could have put Dr. Llanes on the stand. They have subpoena power. If they thought he was guilty they should have brought him up on the subpoena. And if he doesn't show up, the judge will order him to that chair. And they could have put him on the stand right in front of your eyes and your ears to hear what he had to say.

The same thing with the nurses. It's a

lot easier to argue an empty chair and it doesn't meet the burden of proof, because that's their burden of proof. That's what needs to be known. We accept ours. Do they accept theirs? Did they bring the witness? Do they have an expert witness? And they could have done that, an expert nurse that said these nurses fell below the standard of care.

You didn't hear that, because these nurses were under the control of Dr. Llanes.

Dr. Llanes is the doctor in charge and they did call him and even Dr. Llanes and Dr. Freeman, they didn't comprehend the surgical aspects here, but the one that did and should have is sitting over there. Is sitting over there; that's Dr. Martinez-Alba. He knew. He knew. He didn't do anything about it. Doctors must never needlessly endanger a patient. Doctors must be safe. It's better to be safe than sorry.

I will leave the mom's statement about, "I am in the hospital. I will come to see you" to your opinions. You heard it.

Now, you know, interesting and I want to go back, because I would like to go back to the

beginning when the lawyer got up here. And I brought this up a few times, because I think it's important. Knowledge is a candle that lights our way. Completely agree with that statement by Mr. Alba. Completely. But who had knowledge here? He would barely admit that the surgeon had superior knowledge.

Both of them, him and Comperatore, I had to struggle with them to get them to admit to you that a surgeon is the one with the greatest knowledge about the surgical abdomen, and a laparotomy, and the surgery that's necessary and all the events that led up to that. But I think they finally agreed. And I think it's clear that a surgeon is the one with the knowledge. A surgeon is the one that needs to put their hands on a patient. There is just no getting around that. If this surgeon put his hands on the patient, we wouldn't be here today. There is absolutely no getting around that.

And with respect to that one of the most important things, and I am sorry that I don't have it enlarged, but I asked Diana again, I am keeping her working. Between how fast I talk

Ι/

and how much we ask of her, I hope that she will forgive us, but in any event this is what the doctor said and this is what I asked him.

This is the key. This is the key, ladies and gentlemen. "Would you agree that the surgical procedure, if it had been performed the day of the surgical consult was requested, if it had been performed that day, May 7th, more likely than not the cardiology pulmonary arrest would not have occurred. It wouldn't ever have occurred". He says along with other things, yes. Then I say to him, "Would you agree that cardiorespiratory arrest was the cause of her anoxic encephalopathy? Yes.

Would you agree that the anoxic encephalopathy contributed to her death? Yes."

These are the elements that we accept the burden of proof that he was negligent. He didn't timely operate on her and that failure led and contributed to her death and the evidence is clear in this case. "Doctor, you claim that you didn't have all of the information about this patient in terms of timeline and some of the history we went over, correct? Yes. Okay. Well, are you the

specialist? You are the surgeon. Don't you
agree that it's your responsibility to request
from the people that you are talking to all the
necessary information that you needed to
properly make a decision, whether you should
come in and see the patient now or later?

He didn't come in. He knew it was his responsibility. He knew it and he is sorry now. That's not what this case is about. It's not about being sorry.

I am going to have to take a few minutes, if I can, to talk to you, ladies and gentlemen, about a verdict form. This is how things end up, because this is the closure and the end and where you decide the case. And you will get a verdict form. And the questions here, ladies and gentlemen, are — they are very simple.

The first one is was there negligence on the part of Dr. Martinez? And, ladies and gentlemen, there is no question that we accept our burden and that this doctor should have timely and appropriately seen this patient and if he did the consequences would not have occurred that occurred. He had all the

Yes."

2.3

information or he should have had all the
information to know he needed to come in and
put his hands on this lady's abdomen and do the
exploratory laparotomy, which would have saved

5 her life. So the answer is yes.

The next page, was the negligence on the part of Jesus Llanes? That's their defense. Blame someone else. Despite the fact that Dr. Comperatore came, his colleague, this doctor, Dr. Alba, says I think they were doing the best that they could with the information that they had and I don't know what their thought process was at the time. But more importantly in trial, and I read to him actually from his deposition. I said you have no criticisms of the doctors in this case and his answer was very clear. I do not have any criticisms.

So if the main -- if the defendant admits to you that Dr. Llanes didn't do anything wrong, then they go out and get Dr. Comperatore, who is less than credible, the answer should be no with respect to Dr. Llanes. If you feel differently on any of this. This is in your hands. I will be done in a few

1 minutes.

Now the next thing is was there negligence on the nurses? And, you know, I think they did a less than adequate job proving the case against the nurses. However, if there is any scintilla of this, we can embrace that. We are reasonable. I am not suggesting that you put 100 percent on Dr. Alba. So the answer to this can be yes, I will tell you that right now. Although the important part is how do we evaluate and apportion this. By the way, if you tell me you know what, Mr. Panter, I heard the case and I don't think that the nurses did anything wrong; that's up to you.

Don't get me wrong. When we go down the percents here I don't give the nurses more than 10 percent. Because I think they did their job. They were calling people. They were calling doctors, but they are not surgical nurses. They are not from the surgical ICU and I don't put much fault on those nurses. They were doing their job as best they could. And for this guy to get up in front of you and try to pass the buck to them is not fair and doesn't meet the evidence in the case.

So Llanes is a zero and Dr. Alba is
90 percent. That's how the evidence played out
in this case. If he was there by his own
statement, by his own statement, this patient
wouldn't have ended up with cardiorespiratory
and pulmonary arrest and in that comatose state
in front of her own family.

Now this is called damages to the estate.

This is the econ part. Let's be clear. This doesn't go to the children. Let's be very clear about that. I had to take notes on this, because you heard a lot of evidence in the case. It says what's the amount of earnings lost from the estate from the date of the injury to the date of death? We have an economist. By the way, they again can have an economist, if they don't trust our numbers. They have a right to bring in whoever they want.

There was one economist in this case. He said the earnings were 46,846 in net accumulations. He had numbers or earnings were about \$1 million, but the money left was much less, because he already accounted for her personal consumption and he came -- I am using,

by the way, he had two set of numbers. Just so it's clear I am using the lower set of numbers to be conservative here.

The net accumulation numbers, and you may have your own notes on this, were 231,557.

That's the numbers, folks. We had an enlargement. This is the board that

Mr. Sampedro used with the economist and this is where I got my information from and just hold that there for a minute. So we have a -- I used the lower numbers on that accumulation and the past loss and I haven't got to household services yet, which I will.

Now the medical bills were \$5,430,627.

That reflects 16,000 pages of pain and suffering. Sixteen thousand pages of agony for this family. Sixteen thousand entries of a woman withering away in the hospital bed in front of her eleven-year-old children, who during that time went from eleven to twelve and a half years old; that's what this is about right here. There is no dispute about that.

Now, the next element is for what's called support and services and that was, you heard from the economist on that as well, what the

value, remember he went over it. It was somewhat boring and it's our job to present boring and sometimes gruesome and sometimes difficult complex evidence. We had to do that.

The support and services that he had was this number, but he separated out the past and the future, okay. I have the math for you here. And he said from the date of injury to the present date was 27,829. And in the future was 25,229 and then the part that I talked to you all about at the beginning of the case. I said, ladies and gentlemen, do you have any set limits on what you think is appropriate in cases of this nature? And each of you took an oath and said no, I will start and listen to the evidence. I will be fair and just. I will try this case true, true, and I will listen to you, Mr. Panter.

Someone talked about \$100,000,000 for tobacco. And I said to you, I promise you I will not ask for \$100,000,000, although I bet you that -- I bet you that Tina, if she could come back and the boys could get \$100,000,000 right now and you could award it, and they could get it, they would rather have their

1.8

mom's arms around them, and their mom hugging them, and their mom with them when it's time to graduate high school in two years, and their mom with them when it's time to go to college, and their mom with them on Mother's Day, and their mom with them on Christmas and New Years, and their mom with them when they get in trouble, and their mom with them when they get a problem with the girl, and their mom with them with their kids crying out, and their mom with them with their kids crying out, and their mom with them when they want to go with their spouse to the movies and the mom can come and watch those kids. I will bet you -- where is she? Clementina does that for her other kids.

And I will bet you if she was alive, when those boys have their children, she will be there as a great grandma, but their mom won't be there. And \$100,000,000, I will bet you they will tell you, forget it. I would rather walk out of this courtroom with my mommy, but that can't happen.

We are here to be fair and just. Each of you promised that if someone talks about that -- some limits back in the room you will report it back to the bailiff and he will talk

to the judge, because that's not the law. The law allows you to determine what is fair and right and just. And it gives you the obligation and the privilege. So all I can do is put a number down. And if you think,

Mr. Panter, you are being not very generous for those boys or you are asking for too much money, you tell me by writing it in there. You tell me, Mr. Panter, no, sir.

But I suggested, folks, in the past a number of \$1 million and that's not a big number for these children going to the hospital for almost two years seeing their mom whither. This is not. Death is bad enough and untimely death is bad enough. This is worse. This is untimely. And why is it untimely? Because the doctor wasn't on time. And it's untimely because she's 33 years old. And it's untimely because the boys are eleven years old. And it's untimely to witness it for two years, almost two years of seeing their mom.

So that \$1 million, if you are telling me you are crazy. You are crazy, Mr. Panter. You put your own number there, folks. For the rest

of their life for all of the things that I told you \$2 million. The boys are now 15 years old. You have to add all of that up, folks. I don't know -- what is it? Three million this one here, that's 53 or something like that. I am not -- so it's 3,053,058. That's for a boy. That is for him. That's not this. That is not the medical bills. That's for Anthony. you didn't even see Anthony. You can imagine what that young boy is going through.

We know that he is emotionally handicapped. And if there is any question about that, they can subpoen all the records that they want. They have the power of this court. So anything that is not presented is by choice or it's not necessary or what have you. Every lawyer has a right to present what they want.

And then if we go here, I will just repeat it and this is a -- this is the support and services 27829, 25229 and his parental, same thing: One million and two million and then you come up to the total number the same number which is 53 -- what is it? 503 -- 053?

053058. I think to go back here, I think I

made a mistake. I did. I didn't put the zero here. Sorry. Sorry. This is the only form of justice that we can do on behalf of our clients.

You know, it says there, "We labor here to seek only truth" and I believe that in the last four days you heard the truth. You finally got it. You had to kind of work through it. And we were put to our burden and did a good job, but good job, bad job not relevant. Lawyers, not relevant. What is relevant is the evidence and how it comes in and the truth of it. And you have had that time.

Now what I would like to do as my job and I told you at the beginning that I was going to. We have the video assistance and I have no better way to express -- one second -- to express what this family went through. And I would like to give them a tribute. And I will not put them through the six minutes of replaying this, but two minutes. Two minutes, no sound. And as difficult as it is, I will promise to the Court, the clerk, the court reporter, counsel, my counsel, to sit down and be quiet. I can do that. With that I thank

you for your time and attention. And I know that either this afternoon or tomorrow your verdict will be just. Thank you.

(Thereupon, a video was shown to the jurors.)

MR. PANTER: Thank you.

* * * * *

1.0

* * * * *

MR. PANTER: Choices. The choices were made and forever have altered the lives of Anthony and Bryan. And I will not go down and repeat the choices. The bottom line here is that Dr. Alba made a choice. He had nothing else going on in his life that Saturday afternoon, and no reason not to see this patient and every single medically reasonable reason to go in and see her and lay his hands upon her abdomen, because he had the knowledge or he is supposed to have the knowledge.

And, you know, if he had any concern and he picked up the medical cues that were all there, as clear as day, as clear as day. All the medical evidence was in front of him and he kind of maybe wasn't sure if he should come in,

there is nothing stopping him from picking up the phone and making a call at 5 o'clock, 6 o'clock, 7 o'clock, 8 o'clock, 9 o'clock, 10 o'clock. He could have done that as well. But the point is this patient was consulted to him for abdominal problems and her abdominal problems were constant throughout. The nurses did what those nurses were supposed to do. They called the doctor.

It was interesting because it was either Dr. Comperatore or Alba corrected me at one point and on the chart it says who the admitting doctor is for the patient. It's Dr. Mygins (as spoken), who became Dr. Llanes who was covering for him. So nurses had a problem with blood pressure and cardio vascularly. They called the right doctor. He said call me if there are any changes.

She had an ischemic bowel the day she came into the hospital and he knew it. And he testified to it and he admitted to it. And, therefore he needed to come and investigate that bowel and put his hand on that lady's abdomen and we wouldn't be here today, not at all.

2.3

2

4 5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

The lawyer got up here and said that he didn't have to order more tests. You know what, forget about small bowel series and repeat CT scans, forget about all of that. it off to the side. Simply march yourself down to Eighth Street to the Palmetto to 122nd Street. Get in the emergency room parking lot and see this patient. If he can get there, according to him, in 38 minutes, then that's what you do. You are a doctor. You make choices. You are not a plumber, you know, you are not something else. You are a doctor. made a choice to care for people and meet the standard of care and the judge will instruct you on what negligence is.

Negligence is the requirement that
everyone use reasonable and due care, whether a
doctor or truck driver or airline pilot;
reasonable due care. This doctor didn't do
that. He gambled with a patient and the gamble
went south. It went terrible and this family
is the one that suffers from the consequences
of it.

Now they want to get up without proper evidence and blame Dr. Llanes and the nurses,

without even proper evidence to do that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

-

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And the CT scan, once again, I couldn't believe I just heard a lawyer say no obstruction. You have to pay attention to the whole evidence. And if you are going to be candid let's take the good and the bad. mean, it didn't say no obstruction, there was no obstruction found. But more importantly to correlate clinically, this doctor knew about that and he knew that Dr. Franca, I probably got the name wrong, but that radiologist suggested that a doctor, and he is the one that was requested to do it. Clinically means get in there and put your hands on the abdomen, just like Dr. Hickey said. Any reasonable surgeon had a requirement to get to the hospital. And you know what, the choice was if he was busy doing something, which we didn't hear that was the case.

If he was busy that Saturday afternoon that's okay. Call Dr. Comperatore. He is the chief. That's your competition. Well, give him a little business, if you don't need it. Let him come in and put his hands on the patient and do a very safe surgical procedure

to save someone's life, so she doesn't end up the way that she ended up.

All of the bells and whistles were blowing and he chose to ignore them, and Tina suffered, and Bryan and Anthony suffered and the whole family suffered.

No evidence -- I wrote this down. There was no evidence of a surgical abdomen. You heard from Ralph right here, and he said how much more evidence can there be from a nonmedical doctor? I saw the agony in my sister's eyes. I saw the agony in her eyes; that's not evidence. But he couldn't see it, because he didn't come in. But oh, no, one call is not enough. One call was a life-saving call that was made, should have been a life-saving call. Put him on notice. He had his obligation and his duty. He took a Hippocratic oath and that didn't work. It wasn't enough.

That life-saving call was made on May 7th at 3:30 p.m. and it was ignored. It was ignored. And Tina suffered the consequences.

I just don't feel that I would be doing my job if I didn't read once more what I have

labeled causation. Because in a medical case we have to prove that and I accept that burden. They do too, as it relates to blaming someone else, but we have it right here out of the mouth of the defendant at trial and I am going to read it one more time.

QUESTION: ""Would you agree that the surgical procedure, if it had been performed the day that the surgical consult was requested, more likely than not that cardiology pulmonary arrest would not have occurred?"

ANSWER: "Yes."

So he acknowledged that if he came in and did the procedure that she wouldn't have arrested. Well, then you can only believe that it was absolutely necessary. She came into the emergency room with an ischemic bowel and he knew it two days before, two days before.

QUESTION: ""Would you agree that the cardiorespiratory arrest was the cause of her anoxic encephalopathy?"

It took me a while to say that word. It's a horrible word.

ANSWER: "Yes."

QUESTION: ""Would you agree that her

anoxic encephalopathy contributed to her
death?"

ANSWER: "Yes."

So he says if he would have operated on her the day before it would have saved her.

And because he didn't he caused her brain damage and her brain damage caused the death.

QUESTION: ""Doctor, you claim you didn't have all the information about the patient, in terms of timeline and some of the history you went over, correct?"

ANSWER: "Yes, I didn't have all the information."

Well, you can't have it both ways. If you don't have it then you get it. That's your job and he admits that. He says okay.

QUESTION: ""Well, are you the specialist?

You are the surgeon, don't you agree? Don't

you agree that it's your responsibility to

request from the people that you are talking

to, the nurses?"

He wants to blame them. It's all their fault. All the necessary information that you need to properly make a decision, whether you should come in and see the patient now or

1 later. He answered simply yes. Simply yes.
2 So if ever there was a case where there is

a confession, ladies and gentlemen, the doctor confessed right in front of you, right in front of you in this courtroom.

Once again, I thank you on behalf of every one and I now, as I told you, if you recall in voir dire, you know, my job is over. And I would like to thank my partners and Thelma Leon for asking us to help her sister and her family.

* * * * *

STATE OF FLORIDA:

SS:

22 COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:

I DIANA SANTOS, Shorthand Reporter, do hereby
certify that the case of THELMA LEON, as Personal

CERTIFICATE